

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/899,919	09/899,919 07/09/2001		Kazuhiro Asada	110064 4531			
25944	25944 7590 11/13/2003				EXAMINER		
OLIFF & B P.O. BOX 19		E, PLC	KIM, RICHARD H				
ALEXANDE		22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2871			

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Richard Kim 2871 2871 2871 3			Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner Richard Kim 2871 Th. MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.			''					
Richard Kim 2871		Office Action Summary		·				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edeasons of them may be assisted under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Edeasons of them may be assisted under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Edeasons of them may be assisted under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Edeasons of them may be assisted above its least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SEX (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or estimate period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S. C.§ 133). Responsive to communication(s) filled on		-						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. ### the period for reply specified above, the measure statutory period will apply and vide longer IX (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. #### Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply by allowing apply and vide longer IX (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. #### Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). ##################################		Th MAILING DATE of this communi						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MATIS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for raply specified above is set an inth (20) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of lutry (30) days will be considered limbly. Failure to raply specified above is set an inth (20) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of lutry (30) days will be considered limbly. Failure to raply within the set of extended paned for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (30 U.S C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three mornishs after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any standard patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Period fe	or Reply						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ↑ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ↑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ♠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ↑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ↑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ↑ All b) ↑ Some * c) ↑ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) ↑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. a) ↑ The translation of the foreign language provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. 4) ↑ Interview Summary (PTO-131) Paper No(s) 5) ↑ Notice of References Cited	THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failu - Any earn	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commi- p period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 p cerud for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no unication.)) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (3/ d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. If om the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific refe		Passansivo to communication(s) file	d on					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 3) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 99 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ □ contified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing				non final				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on oy			·—					
4) ○ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on		closed in accordance with the practic						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All interview Summary (PTO-141) Paper No(s). □ Silvence of Breference Silv	Disposit	ion of Claims						
5 Claim(s) _ is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) _ full is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) _ full is/are rejected to. 8 Claim(s) _ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on _ 09 _ July _ 2001 is/are: a \text{ accepted or b} objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a All b Some * c None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 3) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e withdrawn from o	consideration.				
7) Claim(s) sis/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-141) Paper No(s). 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,							
Solidarius S		- · · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)⊠	Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S. C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicat	ion Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O) None of 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 27) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: a) Copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Gopies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 i	s/are: a) 🖾 accep	ted or b) 🗌 objected	to by the Examiner.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some 'c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 36 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Preference Scied (PTO-892) 22 ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* S	Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action.	documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT R of for a list of the ce	een received in Appli nents have been rec ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not rec	eived in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	si 3	ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.	in the first sentend	ce of the specificatio	n or in an Application Data Sheet			
Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	14) 🔲 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121 since a specific			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)						
	2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		5) Notice of Inform				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Woesik
 (US 5,452,386) in view of Herrmann (US 6,174,091 B1).

Referring to claim 1, van Woesik discloses an optical connector comprising a housing having a cord receiving hole portion (see Fig. 7, ref. 4, 22, 24) and a mounting hole (see Fig. 7, ref. 33), the cord receiving hole portion receiving an optical fiber to be inserted along an axis of the optical fiber cord in a cord insertion direction (see Fig. 15, ref. 6), the mounting hole disposed along the cord receiving hole portion (see Fig. 15, ref. 33); and a stopper including a plate-like portion having a positioning slit (see Fig. 11, ref. 66, 67), the positioning slit having a width slightly smaller than a diameter of the optical fiber cord (see Fig. 19, ref. J, 65, 67; col. 5, lines 60-66), wherein the plate-like portion of the stopper can be inserted into the mounting hole along the cord receiving hole portion in a stopper insertion direction perpendicular to the cord insertion direction of the optical fiber cord (see Fig. 15, ref. 12); the housing has a stopper retaining portion for holding the plate-like portion of the stopper, the stopper retaining portions engaging a retaining side of the plate-like portion and having a cross-section perpendicular to the cord insertion direction of the optical fiber cord (see Fig. 11, ref. 70; col. 5, lines 67-68); and when the stopper is inserted into the mounting hole along the cord receiving hole portion, each of

Art Unit: 2871

blade portions penetrates into a covering portion of the optical fiber cord, with the positioning slit being perpendicular to the axis of the optical fiber cord (see col. 5, lines 60-66; Fig. 19), thereby fixing the optical fiber along the axis of the optical fiber cord (see col. 5 lines 66-67). It is the position of the examiner that when the blade portion penetrates into a covering portion of the optical fiber, a portion of the covering portion would inherently be removed since the blade portion would effectively displace the area of the covering portion that was cut. However, the reference does not disclose blade portions, and each of the blade portions being formed by a side edge of the positioning slit joined at a right angle to a distal end edge of the plate-like portion.

Herrmann discloses blade portions (see Fig. 5, ref. 11).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to disclose blade portions in order to facilitate the ease in which the stopper can be inserted into the covering portion of the fiber. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the blade portions to be formed by a side edge of the positioning slit joined at a right angle to a distal end edge of the plate-like portion since it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to implement such a modification, since applicant has not disclosed that having the side edge of the positioning slit joined at a right angle to a distal end edge of the plate-like portion solves any states problem or is used for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the chaffered edge disclosed in Herrmann and van Woesik.

Referring to claim 2, van Woesik discloses a stopper including a pair of plate-like portions interconnected by an interconnecting piece portion in parallel relation to each other, so

Art Unit: 2871

that the stopper has a generally U-shape when viewed from the side thereof (see Fig. 19, ref. 62, 66).

Referring to claim 3, van Woesik and Herrmann disclose the device previously recited. However, the references do not disclose that the side edge of the positioning slit for each of the blade portions projects a gable wedge having a cross-section corner along a thickness midline of the side edge for each of the blade portions, the cross-section corner extending towards the positioning slit.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the side edge of the positioning slit for each of the blade portions projects a gable wedge having a cross-section corner along a thickness midline of the side edge for each of the blade portions, the cross-section corner extending towards the positioning slit in order to provide a sharper blade edge since such a modification facilitates a sharp edge to improve the case in which the stopper can be cut into the covering. Moreover, a blade, by definition is constructed to create a sharp edge along the edge in which cutting is to occur. As a result, such a modification provides no further advantage, purpose or function than that of the blade disclosed by Herrmann. As a result, such a modification would be functionally equivalent.

Referring to claim 4, van Woesik discloses a device wherein the distal end edge of the plate-like portion slants from a first cross-section face of the plate-like portion to a second cross-section of the plate-like portion (see Fig. 15, ref. 12).

Application/Control Number: 09/899,919 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or disclose an optical connector in which reverse blades are formed on and project from each of the proposed side edges of the positioning slit toward the inside of the positioning slit, the reverse blades being directed in a direction generally opposite to the direction of the insertion of the plate-like portion.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 1 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to Applicant's argument that the van Woesik reference fails to teach or suggest the blade portions of the stopper having a right angle joining the side edge of the positioning slit and the distal end of the plate-like portion, Examiner asserts that such a modification is obvious according to the above rejection.
- 7. In response to Applicant's argument that the van Woesik reference fails to include means on the housing to secure the retention pips, Examiner argues that such a limitation is clearly suggested in the corresponding description of the retention pips, which state that the "retention pips engage the walls of the slots to hold the clip..." (see col. 5, lines 67-68). Obviously, in

Art Unit: 2871

order for the retention pips to engage the walls of the slots, there would inherently be retention pips engagements disposed on the walls.

- 8. In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to disclose that the cord covering is removed, Examiner asserts that even though the blades/limbs of van Woesik and Herrmann are chamfered, the cord covering would still be removed since the blade portion would effectively displace the area of the covering portion that was cut.
- 9. In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to disclose that the side edge of the positioning slit for the blade portions each projects a gable wedge having a cross-section corner along a thickness midline of the side edge of the blade portions, Examiner asserts that such a limitation is obvious according to the above rejection.
- 10. In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to disclose that the distal end edge of the plate-like portion slants from a cross-section face of the plate-like portion to a second cross-section of the plate-like portion, Examiner asserts that such a limitation is clearly indicated in Figure 15, ref. 12 of van Woesik. The distal end of the clip is clearly shown as having a sharper edge.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2871

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Kim whose telephone number is (703)305-4791. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on (703)305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Richard Kim Examiner Art Unit 2871

RHK

T. Choudway Drimony Examiner